

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,725	07/28/2003	Ho-Jin Kweon	1567.1007-D	1567.1007-D 7093		
49455 75	90 07/13/2006		EXAMINER			
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP			CREPEAU, J	CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1745	<u>-</u> -		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/200	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	· ·	
10/627,725	KWEON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745		

Before the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	had adams to the date of filling a boile		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.011),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	=	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 		•	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s). <u>3/30/06</u>	
		1.	
		Jonathan Crepeau	
		Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants state that Kweon and Wang disclose heat-treatment steps, which are excluded by the present claims. However, in the context of the present application, "heat treatment" is interpreted as a step which causes the conversion of the surface layer to an oxide (see instant specification, [0079] and [0080]). As stated in the previous Office action, neither Kweon nor Wang disclose heating steps that cause a conversion to oxide. As such, neither of the references disclose "heat-treatment," and thus, the disclosures of both references meet the claim language.